

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,858	06/15/2001	Kumiko Sugiyama	7217/64725	8536
75	90 02/13/2004		EXAMI	NER
JAY H. MAIOLI			PEREZ, ANGELICA	
COOPER & DU	INHAM LLP			
1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			2684	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/881,858 Examiner	SUGIYAMA ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	Angelica M. Perez	2684	
<ul> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> <li>Disposition of Claims</li> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.</li> </ul>	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time, within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE of date of this communication, even if timely filled the communication is non-final.  Ince except for formal matters, profix parter Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	S) FROM  nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). , may reduce any  secution as to the merits is	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r election requirement. r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the correction of the objected to by the Example 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/881,858

Art Unit: 2684

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kimura (Kimura et al.; US Patent No. 6539,243 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Kimura teaches of a portable telephone (figure 1, column 1, lines 5-7) for executing predetermined functions (column 1, lines 40-53) selected from hierarchically structured menus (column 5, lines 63-67; column 7, lines 14-23 and

Application/Control Number: 09/881,858

Art Unit: 2684

column 1, lines 24-29 and 46-48; where the "predetermined order" and "main items" and "sub-items" represent "hierarchies"), the telephone comprising: a plurality of dedicated execution keys (column 1, lines 40-43; e.g., "buttons" corresponding to "keys") for instructing execution of the predetermined functions (column 1, lines 40-43); function assigning means for assigning one of the predetermined functions desired to one of the plurality of execution keys (column 1, lines 43-46); and function executing means for executing the predetermined function assigned to the execution key in response to a pressing of the execution key (column 1, lines 40-43).

Regarding claim 2, Kimura teaches all the limitations according to claim 1. In addition, Kimura teaches where the portable telephone comprises display means (figure 1, item 4) for displaying predetermined information (lines 4-12 of the abstract), where the plurality of execution keys is provided near the display means (lines 7-9 of the abstract); and the display means displays symbols representing the predetermined functions assigned to the execution keys near the plurality of execution keys (e.g., "icons" corresponding to "symbols"; columns 3 and 4, lines 56-67 and 1-16, respectively).

Regarding claim 3, Kimura teaches all the limitations according to claim 1.

Kimura also teaches where the function assigning means assigns the predetermined function desired to the execution key regardless of a hierarchy of a menu containing the function (column 8, lines 17-23; e.g., where the function is "consecutively performed" regardless of hierarchy of a menu).

Art Unit: 2684

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angelica Perez whose telephone number is 703-305-8724. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:15 a.m. - 3:55 p.m., Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 703-308-7745. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2600's customer service number is 703-306-0377.

Angelica Perez (Examiner) Mick Corsens Primay Examiner

Nay A. Maung (SPE)

Art Unit 2684